CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, POMONA ACADEMIC SENATE

ACADEMIC PROGRAMS COMMITTEE REPORT TO

THE ACADEMIC SENATE

AP-026-167

English, M.A. in English – Rhetoric and Composition Option

Academic Programs Committee Date: 11/13/2016

Executive Committee

Received and Forwarded Date: 11/16/2016

Academic Senate Date: 11/30/2016

First Reading

<u>BACKGROUND</u>: The Department of English and Foreign Languages has put forward a referral for M.A. in English – Rhetoric and Composition Option for semesters. This is a revisioned program.

RESOURCES CONSULTED:

Deans
Associate Deans
Department Chairs
All Faculty

DISCUSSION:

Before reaching the Academic Programs Committee, this program was reviewed by the College Curriculum Committee in the College of CLASS as well as the Dean of CLASS and the Office of Academic Programs. All concerns raised at those levels were addressed. The Academic Programs Committee then conducted campus-wide consultation, as well as its own review of the program. No concerns were raised.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Academic Programs Committee recommends approval of the semester program M.A. in English – Rhetoric and Composition Option.

Status	active								
Hierarchy Entities	English and Foreign Languages								
Approval Process Name	I. Program - Q2S Existing Program/Option/Minor								
Current Step	Office of Academic Programs								
Originator	Aaron DeRosa								
Created	11/21/2015 01:54PM								
Launched	11/21/2015 02:17PM								
Form									
General Catalog Information									
Department	English and Foreign Languages								
Conversion Category:	Revisioned								
Proposal Type:	Option								
	Refined learning outcomes and mission statement, revised curriculum core courses, realigned coursework categories for greater flexibility, revised assessment procedures.								
Semester Program Name (e.g. Biology, B.S., Art History, B.A.)	English, M.A Rhetoric and Composition Option: 33 units								
Program Description	Mission StatementThe M.A. in English at Cal Poly Pomona offers the opportunity for students to engage in advanced study in the fields of literature, rhetoric and composition, and/or the Teaching of English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL). The program prepares students pursue careers in high school and postsecondary teaching in community college and university settings, to continue on to doctoral studies, and to enter career fields requiring superior proficiency in written and oral communication, critical thinking, analysis, and research. Learning Outcomes Knowledge of the Field: Knowledge of the key theorists/practitioners, concepts, and terms in a three areas: History of Rhetoric; Modern Rhetorical Theory; and Pedagogy. Application of Theory: Ability to apply rhetorical methodologies, principles, and research to salient concerns/problems in all three areas: History of Rhetoric; Modern Rhetorical Theory; an Pedagogy. Development of Ideas: Ability to develop a detailed, resourceful line of reasoning in response a question at issue. Academic Style: A readable, compelling, professional prose style. Pedagogical Insight: Ability to teach/adapt the body of knowledge and skills listed above to a variety of audiences, in particular fellow teachers and college students. Research: Ability to conduct and present high-quality research/analysis in rhetoric and composition.								
Curriculum Sheet	English, M.A Rhetoric and Composition Option: 33 units I. Required Major Core Courses (3 units) ENG 5011 Professionalization Practicum 3 II. Required Option Core Courses (19-21 units) ENG 5110 Theory and Practice of Modern Rhetoric 3 ENG 5130 Teaching Writing 3 ENG 6950 Master's Degree Project 1 OR ENG 6960* Master's Degree Thesis 3 Choose four: ENG 5100 History of Rhetoric 3 ENG 5131 Pedagogies of Reading 3 ENG 5131 Pedagogies of Reading 3 ENG 5152 Digital Rhetoric and Literacy 3 ENG 5152 Digital Rhetoric and Literacy 3 ENG 5180 Emerging Issues in Rhetoric and Composition 3 *Note(s): The thesis will substitute for one elective course in consultation with an advisor. Directed Electives (9-12 units) Rhetoric and Composition students must choose between the following two groups of Directed Electives: Literature ENG 5001 (3) One survey literature course in the 536X, 556X, 576X sequences. TESOL ENG 5231 (Grammar for TESOL) (3) ENG 5232 (Teaching ESL Writing) (3)								

	sequences, or ENG 5010 (3). Pronunciation) (3)									
Roadmap		Fa	II			Spri	ng			
		-	 IG 5130		3 ENG		-	3	1	
		RC	Elective	3 RC El			lective	3	-	
	Year 1	Dir	rected Electi	ve	e 3 Directed Elect			3	3	
		То	tal Units		9	Tota	l Units	9		
	Year 2	RC	Elective	3 RC E		RC E	lective	3	1	
		Dir	rected Electi	ve 3 Dire		Dire	cted Elective		1	
		EN	IG 5011	3 EN		ENG	G 697			
		То	tal Units	9 Total			l Units	7	,	
	Graduat	e C	ourses, Six-	Yea	ar	Rotat	ion			
							Scheduled			
	ENG 50	01	F	EN	١G	5376	S19/S22			
	ENG 50	10	S	EN	١G	5378				
	ENG 51	00	S19	EN	١G	5560	F19			
	ENG 51	10	S	EN	١G	5561	F20/F23			
	ENG 51	30	F	EN	١G	5562				
	ENG 51	31	S20/F20	ENG 5		5563	S22			
	ENG 51	50	F19	EN	١G	5564	S24			
	ENG 51	51		EN	١G	5570	S19/S20			
	ENG 5152		F19	EN	١G	5572	F19/S23			
	ENG 5180		F	EN	١G	5573	F18/F21			
Two-Year Course Offering	ENG 5230		F18	EN	١G	5760	S20/S23			
Orrening	ENG 52	31	F18	EN	١G	5761	F18/F21			
	ENG 52	32	F19	EN	١G	5770	S21/S24			
	ENG 52	33	S20	EN	١G	5771	F20/F23			
	ENG 5234		S19	EN	١G	5800	F22			
	ENG 5235		S20	EN	١G	5801	S23			
	ENG 5280			EN	١G	5802	F23			
	ENG 53	60		EN	١G	5880	S22			
	ENG 53			EN	١G	6910				
	ENG 53			-		6920				
	ENG 53			-	-	6950				
	ENG 53		S21	-	-	6960				
	ENG 53	75		EN	١G	6970				
	effective compete Assessm The Rhe portfolio courses knowled They will an author that oug prospect what the The com New Wo	ene: encinen etori o is and lge enti pht a entive enple rk	es of its pro- ies upon gra t based on r ic and Comp designed to d reflect on and ability of visit past wo c representalso to funct employers and will ted portfolio	grandua esu pro the duri ork atio or or or or or or	m atio	and ton: of the order of the condition o	the extent to the culminating of the comportunity of the course of the c	whi g en for for . Ir pro th vrite nta	experience rise a selection or r students to syn n it, students will ogram and refle- ney might improviting, and researc ation, that is, as is not only of wha ms in the followin	onds to measure the nonstrate the above of new and old work. The thesize material from vario is demonstrate their growth to no what they have learned to it. The portfolio will providing abilities-a representate something that can persuade they have done, but also ing order:
	demonstrate that you have met the program outcomes (see program outcomes below). In this letter you will cite specific sections and pages in the portfolio that provide evidence that you have met or surpassed the outcomes. Though this piece comes first in the portfolio, it should be the last piece you write.									

Files None

A rhetorical analysis of a text using appropriate classical and modern rhetorical theories. This response should be five to six pages, double-spaced. You will choose one of two questions that will be provided. A reflective essay on how the program has added to your scholarly and professional capacities. Refer to specific courses, texts, assignments, and events. You may also use this essay to make suggestions for improving the program. This essay should be eight to ten pages.

Past Work: Each paper or project should include at the beginning a one- to two-page discussion Assessment Plan of changes you would make after taking into account new perspectives gained since the piece was written, plus annotations in the text indicating the parts you would change. Whether you suggest new directions that might be taken, other directions that should have been taken, and/or additional steps to take in the direction already underway, please note that your annotations need to convey increased depth of understanding as well as breadth. 5100 (History) paper or project 5110 (Modern Theory/Practice) paper or project 5110 (Modern Theory/Practice) annotated bibliography 5130 (Teaching Writing) paper or project One paper from an elective rhet/comp course One paper from the other option (Annotation: If you were going to do this project from a rhetorical perspective, what would you do differently-with what different methodological moves and with what different assumptions about human capacity/agency, about symbolic action, about motives, etc.?) Each outcome will be assessed on a four-point scale (see appendix R). A perfect score is 24 points. A passing score is 18 points. Scores below 18 will result in either an oral defense in one or more categories or a request to revise and resubmit the portfolio in a subsequent quarter. The portfolio can only be resubmitted once. Assessment based on feedback collected anonymously via exit questionnaires The graduate program also collects data based on questionnaires distributed to all graduating students in the fall and spring of every year. The questionnaires prompt students to identify specific strengths and weaknesses in the program as a whole as well as in their particular options. Every questionnaire is filled out anonymously and returned directly to the Graduate Administrative Office (see appendix T). Select Program Program Prospective Curriculum Steps